

12 Dec 1972

(jury dismissed)

1. Norama — don't give out invitations today — in front of press. Telegrams?

2. Call: Bellow; MHH (Marr, Kohn, Deagle  
Bunting? Schelling? (What?)

3. ~~4/11/72~~

4. Party: telegrams; tree; decorations



Albata

- Won't get a fairer ping - next  
will be more biased - it will have  
read more...

- Mad at the delay, at whoever caused  
the delay - don't know who caused the  
delay, Don't know anything.

---

EARL CARDWELL

415 922 0338

415 861 8662

2850 FILLMORE ST., SFO

925 FOX PLAZA, SFO



Norson: (1) Lots of people are accused of  
crimes who aren't guilty.

(Timball, Gordon, agrees; Weigand doubtful).

(2) Norson sent her clipping of Atlantic  
piece on jury — "Now I'll read it tonight."

Weigand: <sup>DE</sup> Q: Do you think I broke the law?

A: How could we know that till after we've  
heard the evidence?

Q But do you believe a law has been broken?

A: Well, there is an indictment — there wouldn't  
be an indictment if...

Aster: (Pat: dim, shy, frightened). "What did you  
say

Gordon: (Wanted my autographs. Norson suggested  
to her — she thought, one of my defense attorneys —  
that she had been wanted for reason of religion.



Oatland: Had read 1984 — response to schools' comment about government.

---

Ang: "We don't

---

London: Didn't like to fight the running every day;

— "First time I've seen you — I didn't look at zone ~~big~~ but the judge.



Conlain: sad... couldn't have been fairer —  
they knew nothing, had read nothing...  
know defense had asked for delay — but  
sure that wouldn't  
you want younger people

— Chairs uncomfortable in jury room.

~~Don~~

Weigand:

McNamee: Nothing could justify the breaking of  
a law — in our system there's always a legal  
way to get what you want — any other  
approach leads to anarchy.

[He went to moon shot].

Wanted to serve.

Couldn't imagine



Schlahs — The judge is for the prosecution,  
isn't he? (Abata disagrees).

Let me ask you: Why were you so interested  
in military background?

We're paying for this (taxpayers)

Q: Does New have more authority with jurors?

A: Not with me, they don't.

You've got to start with local jur — people  
at the top are out of control ...

(Ostgard + Schlahs

J.

We're all nervous —

[Tell jurors we wanted them



	Our guess	Reality
Jordan	good	good
Ostlund	good	good
Chamman?	good	good
Morgan	good	good
Bracci	bad?	bad
Weigand	bad	bad
Asta	weak	weak
Timball	good	good?
Old		

Schlako	<u>bad</u>	good
Oshita?		

(Doubt now: negative significance)

~~McKenna~~

Abata (thought weak, subnormal)

Mascha



Voir dire

Trial of jurors.

Education of jurors.

LV: objects to judge's "rehabilitative" question,  
rather than probing of doubts.

Is the question "Will you be completely fair  
and impartial to both sides in this  
case?" — unaccompanied by any statement  
or question on presumption of innocence, "I... beyond  
reasonable doubt..." — really preserving by  
right of a presumption of innocence — putting  
the burden of proof on the prosecution?